Association between exposure to secondhand smoking at home and tooth loss in Japan: A cross-sectional analysis of data from the 2016 National Health and Nutrition Survey

Yuko Inoue¹, Takashi Zaitsu¹, Oshiro Akiko¹, Miho Ishimaru², Kento Taira², Hideto Takahashi³, Jun Aida¹, Nanako Tamiya²

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION Tooth loss affects oral health and physical and social functions. With widespread population aging, its prevalence is increasing. Secondhand smoking is a risk factor for oral diseases; however, in Japan, there are currently no regulations restricting exposure to secondhand smoke at home. This cross-sectional study examined the association between secondhand smoking at home and tooth loss among Japanese adults.

METHODS The study examined secondary data from the 2016 National Health and Nutrition Survey, Japan. The self-reported responses of 18812 non-smokers aged ≥20 years were analyzed. The association between exposure to secondhand smoke at home and number of teeth was examined through multiple linear regression with multiple imputation. To obtain a more normal distribution, logarithmic transformation was applied to the number of teeth that deviated substantially from a normal distribution. Adjustments were made for sex, age, occupation, household size, dental check-up within the past year, and exposure to secondhand smoke outside the home.

RESULTS Overall, 8.4% of the respondents were exposed to secondhand smoking at home almost every day; this percentage was larger among younger respondents, who also had more teeth than the older respondents. Although the univariate linear regression did not show a positive association between exposure to secondhand smoke and tooth loss, the multivariate-adjusted analysis revealed that respondents who were exposed to secondhand smoke at home almost every day had fewer teeth (β = -0.04; 95% CI: -0.07 - -0.01).

CONCLUSIONS The present results suggest that exposure to secondhand smoke at home increases the risk of tooth loss.

Tob. Induc. Dis. 2021;19(December):96

AFFILIATION

1 Department of Oral Health Promotion, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan

2 Department of Health Services Research, School of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan 3 National Institute of Public Health, Saitama, Japan

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Takashi Zaitsu. Department of Oral Health Promotion, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, 1-5-45 Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8510, Japan. E-mail: zaitsu.ohp@ tmd.ac.jp ORCID ID: https://orcid. orq/0000-0001-5786-4879

KEYWORDS

oral health, secondhand smoking, tooth loss, Japan

Received: 7 July 2021 Revised: 17 October 2021 Accepted: 19 October 2021

https://doi.org/10.18332/tid/143177

INTRODUCTION

The oral cavity has a variety of physical and social functions, such as eating, chewing, and speaking^{1,2}. Therefore, tooth loss can significantly affect the quality of life³ and general health conditions^{4,5}. Tooth loss is a consequence of oral disease, and the primary causes include dental caries and periodontal disease^{6,7}, Further, the burden of total tooth loss is greater than that of caries or periodontal disease⁸. Recently, the global rate of tooth loss has increased as a result of

widespread population aging⁹, therefore maintaining oral health in aging societies has become a major health concern.

It is well known that smoking has a profound exacerbating effect on the major causes of tooth loss¹⁰⁻¹². Not just active, but secondhand smoking also has harmful effects on oral health, causing tooth loss^{13,14}, severe periodontitis¹⁵, dental caries¹⁶, and gingival pigmentation¹⁷. Exposure to secondhand smoke results in the involuntary inhalation of the same

harmful substances (nicotine, tar, carbon monoxide, etc.) that active smokers inhale¹⁸.

While in many countries, smoking is restricted or banned in public places to reduce public exposure to secondhand smoke, in Japan there is no law restricting the practice at home. Further, dentistry-based studies on the harmful effects of secondhand smoking at home have largely examined only children¹⁹ and pregnant women¹⁴; few studies have focused on tooth loss in adults. Therefore, the present study aimed to examine the association between exposure to secondhand smoke at home and tooth loss. We hypothesized that exposure to secondhand smoke at home is associated with tooth loss in non-smokers.

METHODS

Data

Our cross-sectional study represents a secondary analysis of data collected for the 2016 National Health and Nutrition Survey, Japan (NHNS)²⁰ and was conducted with permission from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. The NHNS is a nationwide survey using a stratified random sampling method conducted annually by public health centers with jurisdiction over the survey area under the supervision of the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, with the aim of clarifying the physical condition, nutritional intake, and lifestyle of the Japanese people²¹. The survey was conducted from October to November 2016. In total, 10745 of 24187 eligible households (44.4%) and 26225 people participated.

As we had aimed to determine the health effects of secondhand smoking on adults, we excluded current and past smokers and people aged <20 years from our analysis. Consequently, we analyzed data for 18812 respondents.

The NHNS was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki after obtaining verbal informed consent from all respondents. Under the Statistics Act, the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare anonymized individual-level data obtained from the NHNS and provided the datasets for this study. In accordance with the Ethical Guidelines of Epidemiological Research in Japan, this secondary analysis of anonymous data was exempted from ethical review.

Dependent variable

Respondents' number of teeth was assessed by the

question: 'How many teeth do you have, excluding wisdom teeth, dentures, dental bridges, and implants?'.

Independent variables

As variables, we used exposure to secondhand smoke at home, age, occupation, household size, whether the respondent had a dental check-up within the past year, and exposure to secondhand smoke outside the home (workplaces, schools, restaurants, amusement parks, government buildings, hospitals, public transportation, streets, environments with children). Choices of questions with fewer responses were integrated. Frequency of secondhand smoke exposure was measured using the following question: 'Have you been exposed to secondhand smoking in the past month?'. The possible responses were: 'almost every day', 'several times a week', 'once a week', 'once a month', 'never', and 'did not go out'. These were grouped into three categories: 1) 'several times a week', 'once a week', and 'once a month' were combined into 'several times a week to once a month'; 2) 'never' and 'did not go out' were combined into 'never'; and 3) 'almost every day'. In the sensitivity analysis, we included the original five categories variable of secondhand smoke exposure and built the same model as the main analyses. Age was divided into four groups: 20–39, 40–59, 60–79, and ≥80 years. Occupation was divided into six groups: upper whitecollar, lower white-collar, blue-collar, homemaker, student, and other. Household size (persons) was divided into four categories: 1, 2, 3, and \geq 4. Dental check-up was divided into two categories: those who did and did not have a dental check-up within the past year.

Statistical analysis

The mean number of teeth was calculated for each variable. Then, the association between exposure to secondhand smoke at home and the number of teeth was examined using multiple linear regression. Before performing the regression, to obtain a more normal distribution, logarithmic transformation was applied to the number of teeth, if their distribution deviated substantially from a normal distribution. Adjustments were made for sex, age, occupation, household size, dental check-up within the past year, and exposure to secondhand smoke outside the home. For missing values, we used multiple imputation (MI). We replaced each missing value with a substitute set of plausible values by using MI with chained equations to create 10 complete datasets²². The following variables were used to create these complete datasets: age, sex, occupation, dental check-up within the past year, household size, and exposure to secondhand smoke at home and outside the home. All data analyses were conducted using STATA[®] 15.1 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS

Of the 26225 NHNSJ respondents, analysis was performed on the data of 18812 (71.7%) non-smokers. Among these, 65.5% were women, and the mean age was 58.8 (SD: 18.5) for men and 59.0 (SD: 18.1) for women.

Table 1 shows the participants' demographic characteristics (after applying MI) in terms of their exposure to secondhand smoke at home. The prevalence in the sample of exposure to secondhand

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population and frequency of second hand smoking exposure in the home, after performing multiple imputation (N=18812)

Characteristics	Total	Number of teeth	Almost every day	Several times a week to once a month	Never
	n (%) Mean ± SD %				
Total, n (%)	18812 (100)		1580 (8.4)	1234 (6.6)	15998 (85.0)
Sex					
Men	6484 (34.5)	21.4 ± 9.0	3.6	4.0	92.4
Women	12328 (65.5)	21.4 ± 8.9	10.9	7.9	81.2
Age (years)					
20-39	3356 (17.8)	27.6 ± 1.8	10.1	10.8	79.1
40-59	5215 (27.7)	25.8 ± 4.3	9.8	6.7	83.6
60–79	7820 (41.6)	19.1 <u>+</u> 8.7	7.7	5.5	86.9
≥80	2421 (12.9)	10.4 ± 9.9	5.5	4.0	90.5
Occupation					
Upper white-collar	2836 (15.1)	25.7 ± 4.9	6.7	6.3	87.0
Lower white-collar	4612 (24.5)	24.6 ± 6.2	10.0	7.5	82.5
Blue-collar	2613 (13.9)	21.8 ± 8.4	9.2	7.5	83.2
Homemaker	4792 (25.5)	20.1 ± 9.0	9.3	6.7	84.0
Student	236 (1.3)	26.4 ± 5.6	9.3	10.9	79.8
Other	3724 (19.8)	15.0 ± 10.6	5.9	4.5	89.5
Household size (persons)					
1	2481 (13.2)	18.3 ± 9.9	3.0	5.6	91.3
2	6717 (35.7)	20.3 ± 9.0	6.9	4.6	88.6
3	4260 (22.7)	22.2 ± 8.7	10.6	6.9	82.5
≥4	5354 (28.5)	23.5 ± 8.0	11.1	9.2	79.7
Dental check-up within the past					
year					25.0
Yes	10107 (53.7)	22.2 ± 7.8	7.8	6.3	85.9
No	8705 (46.3)	20.4 ± 10.1	9.1	6.8	84.0
secondhand smoke outside the home					
Almost every day	1376 (7.3)	23.7 ± 7.2	17.5	4.8	77.6
Several times a week to once a month	7626 (40.5)	23.6 ± 7.3	7.7	7.7	84.6
Never	9810 (52.2)	19.4 <u>+</u> 9.8	7.7	5.9	86.4
Number of teeth, mean ± SD			21.7 ± 8.8	22.6 ± 8.3	21.2 ± 9.0

SD: standard deviation.

Tob. Induc. Dis. 2021;19(December):96 https://doi.org/10.18332/tid/143177 smoke at home 'almost every day', 'several times a week to once a month', and 'never' was 8.4%, 6.6%, and 85.0%, respectively. A large sex-based difference was observed; the corresponding prevalence rates were 3.6%, 4.0%, and 92.4% for men and 10.9%, 7.9%, and 81.2% for women, respectively. Women, lower white-collar workers, blue-collar workers, homemakers, those with larger households, and those who were exposed to secondhand smoke outside the home almost every day tended to be more exposed to secondhand smoking at home. For those who reported exposure to secondhand smoke 'almost every day', 'several times a week to once a month', and 'never', the mean number of teeth was 21.7 (SD: 8.8), 22.6 (SD: 8.3), and 21.2 (SD: 9.0), respectively. The mean number of teeth decreased with age with no difference between men (21.4, SD: 9.0) and women (21.4, SD: 8.9) in this regard.

Table 2 shows all the participants in the first survey, except those who did not answer the question about smoking status. A higher percentage of respondents aged 30–39, 40–49, and 50–59 years reported smoking every day (25.9%, 25.5%, and 22.1%, respectively) compared to other age groups.

Table 3 shows the results of the linear regression (involving MI) on the number of teeth (which were logarithmically transformed). In the univariate model, compared to those who were never exposed to secondhand smoke at home, those who were exposed 'several times a week to once a month' had a significantly higher number of teeth (β =0.09; 95% CI: 0.06–0.12). However, this association disappeared in the adjusted model; after adjusting for covariates, being exposed to secondhand smoke at home almost

	Age (years)								
	20–29			50–59	60–69		80-89		
Total, n	1865	3062	4128	3831	5690	4384	2325	384	
Smoking status									
Almost every day	17.5	25.9	25.5	22.1	15.9	7.6	3.0	2.6	
Sometimes	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.6	0.8	
Past smoking	4.0	9.4	9.3	9.1	9.5	8.6	7.2	6.3	
Never	76.8	62.8	63.7	67.5	73.6	82.9	89.2	90.4	

Table 2. Smoking status (%) by age group*

*Includes smokers who were excluded from the main analysis.

Table 3. Multiple linear regression analysis of second hand smoke at home on number of teeth* with multiple imputation (N=18812)

		Univariate mode	1	Adjusted model			
	β	95% CI		β	95% CI		
Frequency of exposure to secondhand smoke at home							
Almost everyday	0.02	-0.01 - 0.05	0.26	-0.04	-0.070.01	<0.01	
Several times a week to once a month	0.09	0.06 - 0.12	<0.01	0.01	-0.02 - 0.03	0.64	
Never (Ref.)							
Sex							
Men (Ref.)							
Women	0.00	3.24 - 3.31	0.64	-0.01	-0.03 - 0.01	0.30	
Age (years)							
20–39 (Ref.)							
40–59	-0.09	-0.090.08	<0.01	-0.09	-0.100.08	<0.01	
60-79	-0.43	-0.450.42	<0.01	-0.40	-0.420.39	<0.01	
≥80	-0.86	-0.890.83	<0.01	-0.78	-0.810.74	<0.01	

Continued

Table 3. Continued

	Univariate model			Adjusted model			
	β	95% CI		β	95% CI		
Occupation							
Upper white-collar (Ref.)							
Lower white-collar	-0.05	-0.070.04	<0.01	-0.03	-0.040.01	<0.01	
Blue-collar	-0.19	-0.220.17	<0.01	-0.08	-0.100.06	<0.01	
Homemaker	-0.28	-0.310.26	<0.01	-0.05	-0.070.03	<0.01	
Student	0.01	-0.07 - 0.08	0.85	-0.06	-0.120.01	0.03	
Other	-0.54	-0.560.51	<0.01	-0.14	-0.170.11	<0.01	
Household size (persons)							
1 (Ref.)							
2	0.12	0.09 - 0.15	<0.01	0.06	0.03 - 0.09	<0.01	
3	0.23	0.20 - 0.26	<0.01	0.06	0.03 - 0.09	<0.01	
≥4	0.28	0.25 - 0.31	<0.01	0.06	0.03 - 0.08	<0.01	
Dental check-up within the past year							
Yes	0.05	0.04 - 0.07	<0.01	0.06	0.05 - 0.08	<0.01	
No (Ref.)							
Frequency of exposure to secondhand smoke outside the home							
Almost every day	0.20	0.18 - 0.23	<0.01	-0.01	-0.04 - 0.02	0.43	
Several times a week to once a month	0.21	0.19 – 0.22	<0.01	0.03	0.01 - 0.04	<0.01	
Never (Ref.)							

*Logarithmic transformation was applied to number of teeth.

every day was significantly associated with having fewer teeth (β = -0.04; 95% CI: -0.07 – -0.01). Sensitivity analysis using the five categories variable of secondhand smoke exposure also showed similar results (Supplementary file Table 1).

DISCUSSION

The present study investigated the association between exposure to secondhand smoke at home and tooth loss among a sample of non-smokers in Japan. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to show, using a Japan-based nationwide survey, an association between household exposure to secondhand smoke and the number of teeth. The burden is considered to be larger among women because they showed a higher rate of exposure to secondhand smoke at home (10.9%) compared to men (3.6%).

Our multivariate analysis revealed that respondents who had frequent exposure to secondhand smoke had fewer teeth; descriptive statistics and univariate analyses failed to show this association. This inconsistency between the univariate and multivariate analysis results can be explained by negatively confounding age. As shown in Supplementary Table 1, younger people tended to smoke tobacco, and, as Table 1 shows, younger people had more teeth. Therefore, in the multivariate analysis adjusted for age, exposure to secondhand smoke was negatively associated with the number of teeth. Similar negative confounding was observed in a previous study that also examined the association between exposure to secondhand smoke and the number of teeth¹³. The study found, through univariate analysis, that older people with frequent exposure to secondhand smoke had a higher number of teeth; however, multivariate analysis adjusted for age and other confounders showed a negative association between exposure to secondhand smoke and the number of teeth. Thus, the study indicates that descriptive statistics alone cannot reveal the harmful effects of exposure to secondhand smoke because of the presence of confounding factors. Studies have found a positive association between heavy exposure to secondhand smoke at home and tooth loss. In a cohort study conducted in Australia, exposure to secondhand smoke among persons aged >45 years was associated with a significantly increased risk of tooth loss, even among non-smokers²³. Meanwhile, in a Japan-based cross-sectional study, a significant association between exposure to secondhand smoke and tooth loss was observed among pregnant women¹⁴. These results are consistent with the present results.

Our results suggest that preventing secondhand smoking at home is necessary to ensuring oral health. In Japan, the implementation of nonsmoking regulations has been slow compared to other countries, and secondhand smoking in workplaces and restaurants continues to represent a problem²⁴. The Health Promotion Law was amended in 2018 to prohibit smoking in public places; however, there remain no regulations regarding secondhand smoking at home. Even if smokers use separate smoking areas in their homes, non-smokers living in such homes are exposed to more secondhand smoke than are nonsmokers living in non-smoking homes²⁵. Therefore, it is important that smokers try as much as possible to avoid exposing their households to secondhand smoke. Additionally, knowledge of the negative effects of tobacco on health has been found to be significantly associated with reduced secondhand smoking at home²⁶, hence, education regarding the negative effects of secondhand smoking is also required.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, it is a crosssectional study and therefore we could not examine the temporal relationship between tooth loss and exposure to secondhand smoke. However, the inverse association, that tooth loss increases the risk of exposure to secondhand smoke at home, seems unlikely. Second, although the self-reported number of teeth may not reflect the actual number of teeth, previous studies have reported that the self-reported number of teeth is valid when compared with the clinically examined number of teeth in Japanese adults^{27,28}. Third, the reliability of the self-report data regarding secondhand smoking is uncertain because data corresponding to the exact duration of exposure to secondhand smoke were unavailable. Furthermore, the size of the rooms in a home and the number of smokers in the household also impact estimates of actual exposure to secondhand smoke. However, any misclassification of secondhand smoking and its duration would be non-differential to the report of the number of teeth. In addition, previous validation studies of self-report data regarding secondhand smoking have found that the measurements used are suitable, although self-reported exposure is generally underestimated²⁹.

CONCLUSIONS

Our analysis indicates that exposure to secondhand smoking may be associated with an increased risk of tooth loss. Further analyses controlling for other factors are also needed to confirm this finding.

REFERENCES

- Slade GD, Spencer AJ. Social impact of oral conditions among older adults. Aust Dent J. 1994;39(6):358-364. doi:10.1111/j.1834-7819.1994.tb03106.x
- Moynihan P, Bradbury J. Compromised dental function and nutrition. Nutrition. 2001;17(2):177-178. doi:10.1016/s0899-9007(00)00562-1
- Tan H, Peres KG, Peres MA. Retention of teeth and oral health-related quality of life. J Dent Res. 2016;95(12):1350-1357. doi:10.1177/0022034516657992
- Nowjack-Raymer RE, Sheiham A. Association of edentulism and diet and nutrition in US adults. J Dent Res. 2003;82(2):123-126. doi:10.1177/154405910308200209
- Holm-Pedersen P, Schultz-Larsen K, Christiansen N, Avlund K. Tooth loss and subsequent disability and mortality in old age. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008;56(3):429-435. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01602.x
- Eklund SA, Burt BA. Risk factors for total tooth loss in the United States; longitudinal analysis of national data. J Public Health Dent. 1994;54(1):5-14. doi:10.1111/J.1752-7325.1994.TB01173.X
- Aida J, Ando Y, Akhter R, Aoyama H, Masui M, Morita M. Reasons for permanent tooth extractions in Japan. J Epidemiol. 2006;16(5):214-219. doi:10.2188/jea.16.214
- GBD 2017 Oral Disorders Collaborators. Global, regional, and national levels and trends in burden of oral conditions from 1990 to 2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease 2017 study. J Dent Res. 2020;99(4):362-373. doi:10.1177/0022034520908533
- Kassebaum NJ, Smith AGC, Bernabé E, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence, incidence, and disability-adjusted life years for oral conditions for 195 countries, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors. J Dent Res. 2017;96(4):380-387. doi:10.1177/0022034517693566

- Jiang X, Jiang X, Wang Y, Huang R. Correlation between tobacco smoking and dental caries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Tob Induc Dis. 2019;17(April). doi:10.18332/tid/106117
- 11. Kinane DF, Chestnutt IG. Smoking and periodontal disease. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 2000;11(3):356-365. doi:10.1177/10454411000110030501
- Zhang Y, He J, He B, Huang R, Li M. Effect of tobacco on periodontal disease and oral cancer. Tob Induc Dis. 2019;17(May). doi:10.18332/tid/106187
- Umemori S, Aida J, Tsuboya T, et al. Does secondhand smoke associate with tooth loss among older Japanese? JAGES cross-sectional study. Int Dent J. 2020;70(5):388-395. doi:10.1111/idj.12577
- 14. Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Sasaki S, et al. Active and passive smoking and tooth loss in Japanese women: baseline data from the Osaka maternal and child health study. Ann Epidemiol. 2005;15(5):358-364. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2004.12.005
- Arbes Jr SJ, Agústsdóttir H, Slade GD. Environmental tobacco smoke and periodontal disease in the United States. Am J Public Health. 2001;91(2):253-257. doi:10.2105/ajph.91.2.253
- 16. Saho H, Taniguchi-Tabata A, Ekuni D, et al. Association between Household Exposure to Secondhand Smoke and Dental Caries among Japanese Young Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(22):8623. doi:10.3390/ijerph17228623
- Hanioka T, Tanaka K, Ojima M, Yuuki K. Association of melanin pigmentation in the gingiva of children with parents who smoke. Pediatrics. 2005;116(2):e186-e190. doi:10.1542/peds.2004-2628
- 18. United States Office on Smoking and Health. The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2006. Toxicology of Secondhand Smoke;2. Accessed March 16, 2021. https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK44321/
- Ayo-Yusuf OA, Reddy PS, van Wyk PJ, van den Borne BW. Household smoking as a risk indicator for caries in adolescents' permanent teeth. J Adolesc Health. 2007;41(3):309-311. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2007.04.012
- 20. The Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. The national health and nutrition survey in Japan. In Japanese. 2017. Accessed June 16, 2021. https://www. mhlw.go.jp/stf/houdou/0000177189.html
- Ikeda N, Takimoto H, Imai S, Miyachi M, Nishi N. Data Resource Profile: The Japan National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHNS). Int J Epidemiol. 2015:44(6), 1842-1849. doi:10.1093/ije/dyv152
- 22. Rubin DB, Schenker N. Multiple imputation in healthcare databases: an overview and some applications. Stat Med. 1991;10(4):585-598. doi:10.1002/sim.4780100410
- 23. Arora M, Schwarz E, Sivaneswaran S, Banks E. Cigarette smoking and tooth loss in a cohort of older

Australians. J Am Dent Assoc. 2010;141(10):1242-1249. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.2010.0052

- 24. Jiang Y, Yamato H. The economic impact of a smoking ban by the smoke-free legislation. Article in Japanese. J Natl Inst Public Health. 2020;69(2):121-129. doi:10.20683/jniph.69.2_121
- 25. Matt GE, Quintana PJ, Hovell MF, et al. Households contaminated by environmental tobacco smoke: sources of infant exposures. Tob Control. 2004;13(1):29-37. doi:10.1136/tc.2003.003889
- 26. Matsuyama Y, Aida J, Tsuboya T, et al. Social inequalities in secondhand smoke among Japanese non-smokers: a cross-sectional study. J Epidemiol. 2018;28(3):133-139. doi:10.2188/jea.JE20160184
- 27. Matsui D, Yamamoto T, Nishigaki M, et al. Validity of self-reported number of teeth and oral health variables. BMC Oral Health. 2016;17(1):17. doi:10.1186/s12903-016-0248-2
- 28. Ueno M, Zaitsu T, Shinada K, Ohara S, Kawaguchi Y. Validity of the self-reported number of natural teeth in Japanese adults. J Investig Clin Dent. 2010;1(2):79-84. doi:10.1111/j.2041-1626.2010.00016.x
- 29. Ozasa K, Higashi A, Yamasaki M, Hayashi K, Watanabe Y. Validity of self-reported passive smoking evaluated by comparison with smokers in the same household. J Epidemiol. 1997;7(4):205-209. doi:10.2188/jea.7.205

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest and none was reported.

FUNDING

This work was supported in part by a Health and Labor Sciences Research Grant (H31-19FA1001) from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, Japan. The Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare had no role in the design of the study; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; or in writing the manuscript.

ETHICAL APPROVAL AND INFORMED CONSENT

The NHNS was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki after obtaining verbal informed consent from all respondents. Under the Statistics Act, the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare anonymized individual-level data obtained from the NHNS and provided the datasets for this study. In accordance with the Ethical Guidelines of Epidemiological Research in Japan, this secondary analysis of anonymous data was exempted from ethical review.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data supporting this research cannot be made available for privacy or other reasons.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

YI designed the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. JA contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data and assisted in the preparation of the manuscript. TZ, OA, MI, KT, HT and NT contributed to the acquisition of data, interpreted the data, and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.